|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| EMP05/F/01 – Record of Priority Evaluation Methodology | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Project Title:** | Click here to enter text. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **PT:** | Click here to enter text. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Completed By:** | Click here to enter text. | | | | | | **Date:** | | Click here to enter a date. | | | |
| **Reviewed By:** | Click here to enter text. | | | | | | **Date:** | | Click here to enter a date. | | | |
| **Step 1 – Number of categories to be used**  **Severity (please mark only the appropriate box):** | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **5 Categories** | |  | | **Alternative No. Categories (following approval of QSEP)** | | | | | | |  | |
| **If an alternative number of categories are used, please provide number and reasons:** | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Click here to enter text. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Step 2 – Assign categories**  **Please list details of the categories used to score severity:** | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Click here to enter text. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Reasons for choices (if applicable):** | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Click here to enter text. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Please list details of the categories used to score frequency/duration:** | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Click here to enter text. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Reasons for choices (if applicable):** | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Click here to enter text. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Step 3 – Undertake Evaluation**  **Please record the outcomes of evaluation:** | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Number of Category A priorities** | | |  | | **Number of Category B priorities** | | | | | | |  |
| **Please provide a brief narrative of the evaluation outcome:** | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Click here to enter text. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Step 4 – Increasing resolution between impacts** | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Has scale been used to gain better resolution between impacts?** | | | | | | **Yes** | |  | | **No** | |  |
| **If yes, please give reasons:** | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Click here to enter text. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Record how this has altered the ranking of the impacts:** | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Click here to enter text. | | | | | | | | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Step 6 – Study and Reporting Approach** | | | | |
| **Is Environmental Impact Screening and Scoping (EISS) (EMP01 to EMP05) sufficient?** | **Yes** |  | **No** |  |
| **If No, please give key reason(s) for progressing to in depth Environmental Impact Management:** | | | | |
| Click here to enter text. | | | | |